

פנים FACE

I. In quite a number of biblical texts the $p\bar{a}n\hat{m}$ of YHWH is YHWH's hypostatic Presence. Thus it serves the same function as $\tilde{S}\bar{e}m \rightarrow \text{'Name'}$ in Deuteronomistic theology, $K\bar{a}b\bar{o}d \rightarrow \text{'Glory'}$ in the Priestly tradition, and Shekinah in later Jewish writings. By recourse to such concepts, the ancient Israelites were able to speak of the deity's simultaneous transcendence and immanence.

II. Elsewhere in the ancient Near East, pan 'face' or 'presence' is also used in the sense of the persona or some representation of deity. So the goddess Tannit is frequently known in Punic inscriptions as pn b'l (KAI 78:2; 79:1, 10-11; 85:1; 86:1; 87:2, 88:1; 137:1). The literal meaning of the epithet pn b'l is 'the Face of →Baal' (i.e. panē ba'l), rather than 'the Pearl of Baal', as it is sometimes supposed. This is evident from the alternate spelling p'n b'l (KAI 94:1; 97:1; 102:1; 105:1) and from the Greek transcriptions of the name as phane bal (KAI 175:2) and phene bal (KAI 176:2-3). Some scholars have argued that pn b'l is to be interpreted as a place name like pěnû'ēl ('the Face of God') in the Bible, and they cite Prosopon Theou ('the Face of God'), said to be the name of a promontory north of Byblos (HALEVY 1874). But coins from the Roman period depicting a warrior goddess have been found in Palestine stamped with the name phanebalos, evidently the Greek form of Semitic pane ba'l (HILL 1914). Indeed, one of the coins bears both the name of the deity and a triangular symbol identified as 'the sign of Tannit' (DOTHAN 1974). Thus, pn b'l is probably not a place-name, but an epithet. This designation of the deity as pn b'l is very similar to the epithet of the goddess 'Attart/ 'Astart sm b'l "the Name of Baal" attested in

the Eastern Mediterrannean coast (KTU 1.16 vi:56 [cf. 1.2 iv:28]; KAI 14:18). The similarity of the epithets of these goddesses is particularly intriguing in the light of the name tnt'štrt 'Tannit-'Aštart' found in a Phoenician inscription from Sarenta (PRITCHARD 1978). Indeed, it is possible that the role of 'Attart/'Astart in the Eastern Mediterranean world was replaced in North Africa by the goddess Tannit, a development evidenced in part by the dominance of Tannit in the texts along with the persistence of theophoric 'Aštart names (Cross 1973). In any case, pn b'l appears to be the equivalent of sm b'l (cf. also the Hebrew proper names pěnû'ēl and šěmû'ēl).

One may surmise that 'name' and 'face' mean the same thing essentially, inasmuch as each is representative of its subject. Thus, as 'Attart (→Astarte) in Ugaritic mythology represents Baal-Hadad, so one may assume that Tannit somehow represents →Baal-Hamon in North Africa. Furthermore, 'face' (presentation > appearance) may be semantically related to →'image' (representation > likeness). If so, one may also consider Akkadian personal names like dBE-sal-mu-DINGIR.MEŠ '(the god) Ea is the image (representative) of the gods' (see CAD S 85). Greek lexicographers identify a certain goddess known as Salambas (Etymologicum Magnum) or Salambo (Hesychius), names which are universally recognized scholars as coming from Semitic slm b'l 'Image of Baal'. This deity is identified in the sources as the goddess →Aphrodite-Astarte. As is well attested in Akkadian literature, the salmu 'image' represents or substitutes for the presence of kings and deities. So, too, Aphrodite-Astarte was recognized as representing Baal in some way. The epithet slm b'l is in fact analogous to Phoen sml b'l 'statue/image of Baal', which ap-

607 608

pears in an inscription from the Roman period dedicated "to our lord and to the image of Ba'l" (KAI 12:3-4; cf. the personal name Pnsmlt 'presence of the image' in KAI 57). In sum, the expressions pn-DN, šm-DN, sml-DN, and slm-DN in each case refer to a representation or a representative of the deity in question.

III. As in many other languages, the Hebrew word for 'face' (pānîm) may be used in the broader sense of 'presence'. The word may also be a metonym for 'person'. Thus, in secular usage, 'bind their faces' (Job 40:13) means 'bind their persons', hence 'bind them' (// tomnem 'hide them'). By the same token, Hushai's political counsel to Absalom was issued thus: "I advise that all Israel from Dan to Beersheba be gathered to you-as numerous as the sand by the sea-and that you personally (lit. 'your face/presence') go into battle" (2 Sam 17:11). A similar usage of the word may be discerned in Pss 42:6 (reading yěšû'ôt pānay we'lohay), 12; 43:5; Prov 7:15. The Greek word prosopon may, likewise, refer to the whole person (1 Thes 2:17; 2 Cor 5:12).

Since pānîm may mean personal presence, the idiom panîm 'el panîm "face to face" (also pānîm běpānîm in Deut 5:4) signifies the most direct and personal encounter, but, curiously, only of human beings with the numinous (Gen 32:30; Exod 33:11; Deut 34:10; Judg 6:22; Ezek 20:35; cf. Gk prosopon pros prosopon in 1 Cor 13:12). It is in this sense of a direct encounter that the Bible sometimes speaks of seeing the 'face' of the deity, despite the tradition asserting that no one can see face of the deity and live (Exod 33:10). The idiom is rooted in cultic language articulating the personal experience of divine presence, perhaps in a theophany or vision (Pss 11:7; 17:15; cf. 42:3). The related expression 'to seek the face' of the deity, similarly, means to seek divine presence, as the parallelism in Ps 105:3 suggests. In various Akkadian texts, too, the idiom amaru pani 'to see the face of NN' means to visit someone personally and it is used of encounters with kings and deities (CAD A/II, 21-22). It is

from the cultic use of the idiom that personal names of the types Pān-DN-lūmur "May I see the face of DN" and Pan-DNadaggal "I will look upon the face of DN" are derived (STAMM 1969). The Akkadian idiom 'to see the face (of the deity)' probably had its origin in the confrontation of the cult image (salmu) in the sanctuary; those who went to the temple literally 'saw' a representation of the deity. Israel's strong tradition of aniconism, of course, does not permit such a literal interpretation of the related Hebrew idioms. On the other hand, the technical term lipnê YHWH 'before →YHWH' (lit. 'at the face of YHWH') very often implies some kind of representation of YHWH's presence, notably the Ark, the functional equivalent of the cult image in ancient Israel. Thus, David danced "before YHWH" (2 Sam 6:5.14.16.21), Hezekiah prayed "before YHWH" who is said to be enthroned on the cherubim in the temple (Isa 37:14-20 = 2 Kgs 19:14-19), and the Israelites passed on "before YHWH" as they crossed the Jordan (Num 32:21.27.29; cf. "pass on before the Ark of YHWH" in Josh 4:5). Various ritual acts are said to be performed "before YHWH" (Lev 1:5; Josh 18:6; Judg 20:26). It has been argued, therefore, that lipnê YHWH/ĕlōhîm in cultic contexts is virtually synonymous with 'before the Ark' (DAVIES 1963). Thus, all occurrences of "before YHWH" and "before →God" in the Enthronement Psalms are thought to allude to the presence of the Ark (Pss 95:6; 96:13; 97:5; 98:9), and the placing of cultic objects "before YHWH" is taken to mean that they were placed before the Ark (Exod 16:33, an anachronistic text: cf. v 34). Others consider the expression typically to imply the presence of a sanctuary, but that conclusion cannot be sustained (FOWLER 1987). One can only say that pānîm is closely associated with divine presence, which is at times symbolized by the presence of cultic objects. It is not amiss, in any case, to observe that the lehem (hap)pānîm "bread of Presence" (Exod 25: 30; 35:13; 39:36; 1 Sam 21:7; 1 Kgs 7:48; 2 Chron 4:19) was placed in the tabernacle

609 610

and its table was known as *šulhan happānîm* "the table of Presence" (Num 4:7; cf. 2 Chr 29:18).

The usage of pānîm for divine presence is most evident in Exod 33:14-16, where it is said that the deity's panîm will go with the people. There panîm means divine Presence: the idiom panîm hölekîm in this context does not mean simply 'to go before' and hence 'to lead' (Speiser 1967), for the deity is said to be 'with' the people, not 'before' them (vv 14-16). The LXX takes panîm in this context to refer to God personally, translating the term as autos su 'you yourself'; but Targ. Onkelos takes it as a reference to the Shekinah, God's hypostatic Presence (so Rashi). It is not clear that panim here is a hypostasis; it may well be that the meaning is that YHWH will go with the people personally (cf. 2 Sam 17:11). Nevertheless, the text goes on quickly to ensure that the deity's transcendence is not forgotten; it makes clear that the accompanying Presence does not mean that mortals can literally see the deity's face (v 20). Moses asked only to see God's Kābôd → 'Glory' (v 18), and the deity willed only that his tûb 'Goodness' should pass by and his Sem → 'Name' is proclaimed (v 19). Clearly, the passage speaks of the deity's immanence, but not at the expense of the notion of transcendence.

Other passages that mention the deity's pānîm likewise reflect this theological tension between transcendence and immanence. So →Jacob is said to have seen God "face to face" (Gen 32:30), but the account of his encounter at Jabbok speaks of the opponent only as 'îš 'a person' and later traditions refers to the stranger as mal'āk 'an →angel' (Hos 12:5). In Deut 5:4, YHWH spoke to →Moses "face to face" but the words came out of the fire, and elsewhere it is emphasized that Moses heard only the voice out of the fire "but saw no form" (Deut 4:12.15). Moreover, in contrast to Exod 33:14-16, it is not the pānîm itself that goes with the people; rather, YHWH is said to have led the people out of Egypt with his pānîm (Deut 4:27). This is another attempt to preserve the notion of transcendence. The $p\bar{a}n\hat{m}$ here represents the deity's presence; it is not literally the deity's person, but the divine persona, as it were.

Isa 63:9 is most suggestive in this regard. although the interpretations of the MT (supported by 1QIsaa) and LXX are at variance. The former suggests that it is the "angel of Presence" (mal'ak pānâyw) that delivered Israel from Egypt. The latter, however, contrasts angels with YHWH's panim: "not an angel or a messenger; his Presence delivered them". Here the LXX interprets panim as Autos (the deity himself), as in Exod 33:14 In either case, pānîm refers in some sense to YHWH's presence to save (cf. Odes Sol. 25:4). Elsewhere, however, the deity's pānîm is also capable of destruction. Thus, in Lam 4:16 it is YHWH's panîm that destroys people (cf. Ps 34:17), and people perish at the rebuke of YHWH's panîm (Ps 80:17).

IV. The Hebrew Bible uses the term pānîm to speak of the presence of God, sometimes obliquely: the panîm either is, or represents, the appearance of the deity. Later Jewish literature, however, goes bevond the idea of hypostatic Presence to designate a distinct celestial creature known as mal'ak pānîm '(the) angel of Presence'. The concept appears to be a development of Isa 63:9, according to the tradition preserved in the MT and 1 QIsaa, which attributes the deliverance of Israel to the 'angel of Presence'-probably a circumlocution for the deity's very presence. Later Jewish texts, however, speak not only of 'the angel of presence' in the singular (Jub. 1:27, 29; 2:1; 1QSb iv 25), but of several 'angels of presence' (Jub. 2:2, 18; 15:27; 31:14; T. Judah 25:2; T. Levi 3:5; 1 QH vi 13). The 'angels of Presence' minister to God in the heavenly abode and, as such, they are known as 'the ministers of Presence' or 'the ministers of the Glorious Presence' (4QSirSabb 40:24). In the angelic hierarchy, they and 'the angels of sanctification' are superior to all others (Jub. 2:18; 5:17). The literature even asserts that the elect will share a common lot with these 'angels of Presence' (1 QH vi

13) and become princes among them (Jub. 31:4; 1 QSb iv 25-26).

V. Bibliography

F. M. CROSS, Canaanite Myth and Hebrew Epic (Cambridge, Mass. 1973) 28-36; G. H. DAVIES. The Ark in the Psalms, Promise and Fulfillment (Edinburgh 1963) 60-61; *E. DHORME, L'emploi métaphorique des noms des parties du corps en hébreu et en akkadien. III. Le Visage, RB 30 (1921) 374-399; M. DOTHAN, A Sign of Tanit from Tel 'Akko, IEJ 24 (1974) 44-49; M. D. FOWLER, The Meaning of lipnê YHWH in the Old Testament, ZAW 99 (1987) 384-390; J. HALÉVY. Mélanges d'épigraphie d'archéologie sémitiques (Paris 1874) 42-48; G. F. HILL, Catalogue of the Greek Coins of Palestine (London 1914) 115-139; F. O. HVIDBERG-HANSEN, La déesse TNT (Copenhagen 1979) I, 15-18; F. NÖTSCHER, "Das Angesicht Gottes schauen" nach bibund babylonischer Auffassung lischer (Würzburg 1969); J. B. PRITCHARD, Recovering Sarepta, A Phoenician City (Princeton 1978) 104-106; J. REINDL, Das Angesicht Gottes im Sprachgebrauch des Alten Testaments (Leipzig 1970); E. A. Speiser. The Biblical Idiom pānīm hōlekīm, The Seventy-Fifth Anniversary Volume of the Jewish Quarterly Review (Philadelphia 1967) 515-517; J. J. STAMM, Die akkadische Namengebung (Darmstadt 1968) 195.203.

C. L. Seow

FALSEHOOD TOW

I. The basic meaning of the verbal root $\S qr$, attested inter alia in Hebrew, Old Aramaic, Jewish Aramaic, and Syriac is: 'to deceive, act perfidiously', with corresponding nominal derivations (cf. HALAT s.v. $\S eqer$), not 'to lie', as has been established by KLOPFENSTEIN (1964; cf. KLOPFENSTEIN 1976:1010). In combination with the word $r \^a a h$, 'spirit', $\S eqer$ can personify the notion of falsehood in the Hebrew Bible. The Hebrew q i t l-nominal-formation $\S eqer$ 'falsehood, deceit, perfidy' is often used in regard to false prophecy: the adversaries of Jeremiah 'prophesy falsehood' ($\S eqer$ Jer 14:14),

or 'by (in the sense of: based on) falsehood' (baššeger 5:31; 20:6, běšeger 29:3), or 'for falsehood' (laššeger 27:15); their divinations originate in 'fraudulent dreams' (hălômôt šeger 23:32; cf. οὖλος ὄνειρος below sub II) or in 'a fraudulent vision' (hazôn šeaer 14:14). Isaiah speaks about 'prophets who teach falsehood' (Isa 9:14); according to Micah, a false prophet 'comes about with wind' (hālak rûah) and 'lies falsehood' (šeger kizzēb), preaching on beer and wine (2:11). For the holophrastic use of *šeger* in the sense of 'that is not true' cf. 2 Kgs 9:12; Jer 37:14; 40:16. From phrases like that, we understand that the phenomenon of false salvation-prophecy is reflected as the outcome of rûah šeger 'a deceiving spirit' in 1 Kgs 22:19-23, an expression which is without any direct equivalent inside and outside the Bible; it is an ad hoc concept meant as a mythic means to come to terms with the perplexing way of God's economy. The same is true, on the other hand, when hokmâ 'wisdom' has the connotation of 'truth' as in Job 28; even hokmôt 'Lady →Wisdom' Prov 1:20; 9:1; 14:1 (hkmh Sir 24) need not be modelled after a consistent divine figure such as →Isis; it could be a personification of a common wisdom notion, a personification which later became conventional.

II. The only functional parallel to the rûah šeger of 1 Kgs 22 is the οὖλος ὄνειρος 'fraudulent dream' in Homer's Iliad II:6.9; cf. the hălōmôt šeger Jer 23:32 mentioned above. By this misleading omen, Agamemnon is summoned to undertake a battle which destiny determines to be unsuccessful; this trick enables →Zeus to extract himself from an embarrassment in which he got involved because of the quarrel and distrust of the Olympians, especially on the part of the divine ladies. The motif is an attempt to overcome the ambivalent character of reality, disappointment at unforseeable and senseless misfortunes or at the nonfulfilment of oracles for instance—namely by its projection into the world of the gods.

The 'divine trickster' known from the phenomenology of religion is no parallel: this one is an inferior god or demon stand-