MALĀ'IKA

(A.) angels (Persian "angel" = firishta).

1. In the Kur'an and in Sunnī Islam.

The form malā'ika is the broken plural in Arabic of a word going back to early North-West Semitic (there is no cognate in Akkadian), Ugar. ml'k "messenger", Aram. mal'ak and O.T. Hebr. mal'āk "messenger, angel", the root in Arabic being referred by the lexicographers and commentators to a root m-l-k, '-l-k or even l-'-k (see LA, xii, 272-4, 370-1; al-Ṭabarī, Tafsīr, i, 150; Lane, Lexicon, i, 81c), which they consider original to Arabic. A. Jeffery, The foreign vocabulary of the Qur'an, 269-70, following e.g. K. Ahrens, Christliches im Qoran, in ZDMG, lxxxiv (1930), 24, thought it fairly certain that the proximate source of the word in Arabic was nevertheless the Ethiopic mal'āk, pl. malā'eket, the usual equivalent in that language for Grk. angelos "messenger > angel"; the word was presumably a loanword into Ethiopic from Aramaic or Hebrew. Since it is so frequently used in the Kur'an, Muhammad's audience was obviously familiar with it, and it must have been a pre-Islamic borrowing. The singular in Arabic is normally malak without hamza, and so always in the Kur'ān; although LA in two places (xii, 274,8; 371,5) quotes the same verse as a proof that mal'ak does occur, but as an exceptional form (shādhdh). Both singular and plural in Arabic are used only in the sense "angel". In the Kur'ān it occurs twice in the dual (malakayn, II, 96; VII, 19); of the two angels Hārūt and Mārūt [q.v., and siḥr], and of Adam and Eve being tempted in the [VI 217a] Garden to believe that they may become angels. The plural occurs very often in the Kur'ān (in Flügel's Concordance under l-'-k, 171) but the singular only 12 times (Flügel, under m-l-k, 183). These are of the people demanding revelation by an angel rather than a human being (bashar, VI, 8, 9, 50? XI, 15, 33; XVII, 97; XXV, 8); women think Joseph an angel for his beauty rather than a human being (bashar, XII, 31); an angel's intercession (shafā'a, LIII, 26) does not avail; twice as collective for angels, beside the 'arsh (LXIX, 17), and in rows and rows (LXXXIX, 23).

In XXXII, 11 "the angel of death" (malak al-mawt) occurs but not by name; see 'izrā'īl, and references in tradition in Wensinck, Handbook of early Muhammadan tradition, 22b. Dibrīl, the angel of revelation, is named three times (II, 91, 92; LXVI, 4); cf. traditions on him in Muslim, Constantinople 1333, i, 109-11 and other references in Wensinck, 59. In Kur'ān XXVI, 193-5, Diibrīl unnamed, is called "the Faithful Spirit" (al-rūh al-amīn); he brings down the revelation to the kalb of Muḥammad in a clear Arabic tongue. There are other descriptions of him, still unnamed, in LIII, 5-18 and LXXXI, 19-25, as appearing plainly to Muhammad in revelation. He, as "our Spirit" (rūhanā), was sent to Maryam (XIX, 17). He is called "the Holy Spirit" (rūh al-kudus) in XVI, 104 and Allāh aided 'Isā with the name (II, 84, 254; V, 109). Mīkā 'īl (variant Mīkāl) is named (II, 92) as an angel of the same rank as Diibrīl; see a long and apparently true story of how his naming came about in al-Baydawī (ed. Fleischer, i, 74, 18 ff.); in traditions he, with Dibrīl, appears to Muḥammad and instructs him; he does not laugh (Wensinck, 152b); Muḥammad called the two his wazīrs of the angels. To Isrāfil [q.v.], the angel with the trumpet of resurrection, there is no reference either in the Ķur'ān or in canonical traditions, but very much in eschatological legend. In Ķur'ān, XLIII, 47, the tortured in hell call to the keeper of hell, "O Mālik!" and in XCVI, 18, the guards of hell are called al-Zabāniyya, an otherwise unused word, meaning apparently, "violent thrusters" (LA, xvii, 55); the number of these, LXXIV, 30, is nineteen, and they are asserted specifically to be angels, apparently to guard against the idea that they are devils; they are called "rough, violent" (ghilāz shidād). Another class of angels are those "Brought Near" [to Allāh], al-mukarrabūn (IV, 170); these praise Allāh day and night without ceasing (XXI, 20); al-Baydāwī calls them also al-'alawiyyūn (on Kur'ān, II, 28; ed. Fleischer, i, 47, 23); and al-karrūbiyyūn on Kur'ān, IV, 170 (ed. Fleischer, i, 243, 25) as those that are around the 'arsh. The same term, mukarrab, is used of 'Īsā (III, 40) as he is in the company of the angels nearest Allāh; cf. 'īsā for his semi-angelic character. At the beginning of the Sūra of the Angels (XXXV) there is a significant description: "making the angels messengers (rusulan), with wings two and three and four; He increases in the creation what He wills"; this has had much effect on later descriptions and pictures. They are guardians (hāfizīn) over mankind, cognisant of what man does and writing it down (kātibīn; LXXXII, 10-12), in XXI, 94 the writing down is ascribed to Allah himself. In LXX, 4; LXXVIII, 38; XCVII, 4, there occurs the very puzzling phrase "the angels and al-rūḥ". Al-Bayḍāwī on the first two passages shows how perplexing the distinction was found (ed. Fleischer, ii, 356,5, 383,4): "the $r\bar{u}h$ is an angel set over the spirits (al-arwāh); or he is the whole genus of spirits; or Diibrīl; or a creation (khalk) mightier than the angels"; cf. too, al-Kazwīnī's 'Adjā'ib, ed. Wüstenfeld, 56. For spirits and the conception "spirit" in Islam, see rūḥ. In the vi 2176] Kur'an there is no reference to the two angels, Munkar and Nakīr, who visit the dead man in his grave, on the night after his burial, and catechise him as to his Faith. Thereafter, if he is an unbeliever, his grave becomes a preliminary hell, and if he is a believer, it becomes a preliminary purgatory from which he may pass at the Last Day into paradise; it may even, if he is a saint, be a preliminary paradise. This is called technically the Questioning (su'āl) of Munkar and Nakīr and, also, the Punishment of the grave ('adhāb al-kabr [q.v.]). This doctrine, similar to the Lesser Judgement of Christian theology, is one of the sam'iyyāt (to be believed on oral testimony) and is based on the implicit meaning of Kur'ānic passages (XIV, 32; XL, ii, 49; LXXI, 25) and upon explicit traditions (al-Taftāzānī's commentary on al-Nasafī's 'Aķā'id, Cairo 1321, 109; the Mawāķif of al-Īdiī with commentary of al-Diurdiānī, Būlāķ 1266, 590 ff.). There is a still fuller account and discussion by the Hanbalī theologian Ibn Kayyim al-Diawziyya (Brockelmann, II, 106, no. 23) in his Kitāb al-Rū, Haydarābād 1324, 62-144, § vi-xiv.

The angels are also called the heavenly host, or multitude (*al-mala' al-a'lā*, XXXVII, 8; XXXVIII, 69) and guard the walls of heaven against the "listening" of the *djinn* and *shayṭān*. See further on this under siḥr.

The Kur'an lays stress on the absolute submission and obedience of the angels to Allah "To Him belong those who are in the heavens and in the earth and those who are with Him (indahu) are not too proud for His service ('ibāda) and they do not become tired. They praise, night and day, without intermission" (XXI, 19, 20). "They do not anticipate Him in speech and they labour on His command (XXI, 27). At the creation of Adam they are distinguished in this respect from him and his future race: "while we praise Thee and sanctify Thee" (II, 28). Over the Fire there are set certain terrible and powerful angels, "they do not rebel against Allāh as to what He commands them and they do what they are commanded" (LVI, 6). But does this absolute obedience extend to impeccability ('iṣma [q.v.])? The Ḥur'ān is emphatic as to their obedience, but is in contradiction as to their created nature and as to their relationship in that respect to the djinn and to the shaytans. Thus in several passages in the Kur'an, the story is told of the creation of man out of clay and that the angels were bidden by Allāh to prostrate themselves to him. This they all did "except Iblīs" (illā Iblīs; II, 32, VII, 10; XV, 31; XVIII, 48; XXXVIII, 74). Iblīs, therefore, must have been an angel; as al-Baydawi says, "If not, the command to them did not apply to him and his being excepted from them was illegitimate" (ed. Fleischer, i, 51, 21). This would mean that the angels were not impeccable. But, again, in XVIII, 48, the statement is expanded, "except Iblīs; he was of the dinn; so he departed from the command of his Lord" (fasaķa 'an amri rabbihi). Further, in VII, 11; XXXVIII, 77, Iblīs pleads in justification that man was created of clay $(t\bar{\imath}n)$ but he of fire $(n\bar{a}r)$; and the djinn are acceptedly created of fire; "fire of the samum" in VI, 27, "of a māridi of fire" in LV, 14. The meaning of māridi is unknown; LA, iii, 189, 13-19, gives a number of contradictory explanations, but it is probably an unidentified loan-word. Iblīs and the djinn, then, were created of fire; but there is no statement in the Kur'an as to the material out of which the angels were formed. A tradition traced back to 'Ā'isha is the foundation of the accepted position that the angels were formed of light: "The Prophet said, 'The angels were formed of light (khulikat min nūr) and the djānn were [VI 218a] formed of a māridj of fire and Adam of that which was described to you" (Muslim, Constantinople 1333, vii, 226; al-Baydāwī, i, 52,4). Another difficulty in the doctrine of the impeccability of the angels is the Kur'anic statement as to Harut and Marut referred to above. These two angels are supposed to have yielded to sexual temptation, to be confined in a pit near Bābil and there to teach magic to men. But, it is answered, (a) the Kur'an says nothing of their fall; (b) teaching magic is not practising magic; (c) they always first warn those who come to them, "We are only a temptation (fitna); so do not disbelieve" (Kur'ān, II, 96); cf. further, al-Taftāzānī on the 'Aķā'id of al-Nasafī, Cairo 1321, 133.

In al-Baydāwī on Kur'ān, II, 32, there is a long discussion of the angelic nature (ed. Fleischer, i, 51, 20 to 52,8) which, however, runs out in the despairing statement that knowledge on the point is with Allāh alone (al-'ilm 'inda-'llāhi). Perhaps Iblīs was of the djinn as to his actions (fi'lan) but of the angels as to species (naw'). Also, Ibn 'Abbās has a tradition that there was a variety (darb) of the angels who propagated their kind (this has always been regarded as an essential characteristic of the djinn and of the shaytāns as opposed to the angels) and who were called al-djinn; and Iblīs was one of these. Or, that he was a djinnī brought up among the angels and identified with them. Or, that the djinn were among those commanded to prostrate themselves to Adam. Or, that some of the angels were not impeccable, although that was their characteristic in general, just as some men, e.g. the prophets, are guarded against sin but most are not. Further, perhaps a variety of the angels are not essentially different from the shaytāns but differ only in accidents and qualities as men are virtuous or evil, while the djinn unite both, and Iblīs was of this variety. The tradition from 'Ā'isha is no answer to this explanation, for light and fire in it are not to be taken too precisely; they are used as in a proverb, and light is of the nature of fire and fire of light, they pass into another; fire can be purified into light and light obscured to fire. So al-Bayḍāwī.

With this should be compared the scholastic discussion in the *Mawāķif* of al-Īdjī, with the commentary of al-Djurdjānī, Būlāķ 1266, 576. In it the objector to the 'iṣma of the angels has two grounds": (a) their urging upon Allāh that he should not create Adam showed defects (slander, pride, malice, finding fault with Allāh) in their moral character; (b) that Iblīs was rebellious, as above. These grounds are then answered scholastically. Then various Ķur'ānic texts, as above, on the submission and obedience of the angels are quoted. But it is pointed out that these texts cannot prove that all of them, at all times, are kept free from all sins. The point, therefore, cannot be absolutely decided. Individual exceptions under varying circumstances may have occurred, just as, while the *shayṭāns* as a class were created for evil (*khulikū li 'l-sharr*), there is a definite tradition (*Sharḥ* by al-Māturīdī on *al-Fiṣḥ al-akbar* ascribed to Abū Ḥanīfa, Ḥaydarābād 1321, 25) of one Muslim *shayṭān*, a great-grandson of Iblīs, who appeared to Muḥammad and was taught by him certain sūras of the Ķur'ān.

The story of Hārūt and Mārūt suggests that the angels possess sex, although they may not propagate their kind. But "they are not to be described with either masculinity or femininity" ('Aṣā'id of al-Nasafī, Cairo 1321, 133). Al-Taftāzānī and the other commentators in this edition explain that there is no authority (naṣl) on this point and no proof by reason ('aṣl); it should, therefore, be left unconsidered and that, apparently, was the course followed by al-Īdjī [VI 218b] and al-Djurdjānī. They may have sex and not use it. In that respect, man, who has in himself the possibility of sin and must himself rule his appetites of lust (shahwa) and of anger (ghaḍab), has a higher potentiality of excellency than the angels (al-Bayḍāwī on II, 28, ed. Fleischer, i, 48, 28).

This leads to the second question as to the angels which scholastic theology has considered, the relative excellency of angels and men, and especially, of angels and prophets. This is stated shortly by al-Nasafi, 147: (a) "The Messengers (rusul) of mankind (al-bashar) are more excellent than the Messengers of the angels; and (b) the Messengers of the angels are more excellent than the generality of mankind; and (c) the generality of mankind are more excellent than the generality of the angels". Al-Taftāzānī develops the theme that there is general and indeed necessary agreement on the excellency of the messengers of the angels over mankind in general, but that the other two statements (a and c) will bear argument. He urges (a) the prostrating of the angels to Adam; (b) that Adam was taught all the names of things (Kur'ān, 29); (c) that Allāh "chose" (iṣṭafā) Adam and Nūḥ and the family of Ibrāhīm and the family of 'Imrān over all created things ('alā 'l-'ālamīn, III, 30); and (d) that mankind achieves excellencies and perfections of knowledge and action in spite of the hindrances of lust and anger. But the Mu'tazilīs and the "philosophers" (al-falāsifa) and some Ash'arīs held the superior excellence of the angels. They urged (a) that they were spirits, stripped of materiality (arwāḥ mudjarrada), complete actually, free of even the beginnings of evils and defects, like lust and anger, and from the obscurities of form and matter (zulumāt al-hāyūlā wa 'l-ṣūra), capable of doing wonderful things, knowing events (kawā'in), past and to come, without error. The answer is that this description is based on philosophical and not Muslim principles. (b) That the prophets learn from the

angels, as in Kur'ān, XXVI, 193; LIII, 5. The answer is that the prophets learn from Allāh and that the angels are only intermediaries. (c). That there are multiplied cases both in Kur'ān and in tradition where mention of the angels precedes that of the prophets. The answer is that precedence is because of their precedence in existence or because their existence is more concealed (akhfā) and, therefore, faith in them must be emphasised. (d) In Kur'ān, IV, 170, "al-masīḥ does not disdain to be an 'abd to Allāh nor do the angels" must mean, because of linguistic usage, that the angels are more excellent than 'Īsā. The answer is that the point is not simple excellency but to combat the Christian position that 'Īsā is not an 'abd but a son to Allāh. In the Mawāķif, 572-8, there is a similar but much fuller discussion which involves a philosophical consideration of the endowment—mental, physical, spiritual—of all living creatures from immaterial spirits to the lower animals (al-bahīma).

In the 'Adjā'ib al-makhlūķat of al-Ķazwīnī, ed. Wüstenfeld, 55-63, there is an objective description of the angels in all their classes, in which the statements of Kur'ān and Sunna are adjusted to the Aristotelian-Neoplatonic universe with its spheres (al-aflāk), in accordance with al-Ķazwīnī's general aim to give a picture of the created universe in its details and wonders. Yet apparently, while the angels possess the quality of "life" (ḥayāt) and are the inhabitants of the heavens and of the heavenly spheres (sukkān al-samawāt), they are not to be reckoned among the animals (al-ḥayawān). Al-Damīrī includes mankind and the djinn, even the diabolic (mutashaytana) djinn, such as the ghāl, in his Ḥayāt al-ḥayawān but not the angels. Equally [VI 219a] acute and scholastic with the discussion in the Mawākif, and more spiritual than that by al-Ķazwīnī, is al-Ghazālī's treatment of the mystery of the angelic nature in some of his specialist smaller treatises. For him, it is part of the general question of the nature of spirit to which his smaller Madnūn is devoted. See, too, the larger Madnūn, Cairo 1303, in Rukn, ii, 23 and the translation by W. H. T. Gairdner of his Mishkāt al-anwār, London, Royal Asiatic Society, 1924 passim. Muslim literature also takes account of non-Muslim ideas on the angels, such as those of "philosophers", Christians, dualists, idolaters. These will be found given briefly by al-Baydāwī on Ķur'ān, II, 28, ed. Fleischer, i, 47, 18, and in more detail in al-Tahānāwī, Dict. of techn. terms, 1337 ff.

(D.B. MacDonald*)

2. In Shī'ism.

In Imāmī Shī'ism, angels are closely associated with the *Imāms*. Imāmī doctrine consistently upheld the dogma that the Imāms, just like the prophets, were more excellent before God than the angels with whom they shared in divine protection from sin and error ('isma), and leading theologians, like the Shaykh al-Mufid, wrote treatises in support of it. The *Imāms* are, however, guided and aided by angels. According to a well-known Imāmī tradition, the Imāms could only hear the voices of the angels but could not see them, in contrast to the messenger prophets (rusul), who could see angels while awake and would converse with them, and to ordinary prophets who could hear and see them in their sleep. This was countered, however, by other traditions which affirmed that the *Imām*s also see the angels, and the restriction was held to apply only at the time of their receiving divine instruction through the angel. According to a tradition attributed to the Imām Dia far, the angels regularly come to the Imāms, tread on their beds, attend their tables, come forth to them from every plant in its season, shake their wings above the children of the Imāms, prevent [VI 2196] beasts from reaching them and join them in every prayer. Angels will, according to Imāmī belief, appear in the sky at the advent of the Twelfth Imām and will call out his name; Gabriel and Michael will rally the faithful to swear allegance to him. Imāmī doctrine adds to the Islamic angels of death, Munkar and Nakīr, who question and torment the dead in their tomb, a positive counterpart, Mubashshir and Bashīr, who are sent to the saintly dead to comfort them. According to some, they are the same pair as Munkar and Nakīr and merely change their function, while according to others they are a different pair.

In Ismā'īlism, the hierarchy of ranks ($hud\bar{u}d$) of the spiritual world are sometimes described as angels. In particular, the triad of \underline{D} jadd, Fatḥ and \underline{K} hayāl, which mediates between the Universal Intellect and Soul and the prophets and \underline{I} māms in the physical world, are commonly identified with the archangels \underline{D} jibrā'īl,

Mīkā'īl and Isrāfīl. In an early Ismā'īlī cosmogony, seven Cherubim (karūbiyya) are named and described as having been created out of the light between the first two principles of the spiritual world. After them a group of twelve "spiritual beings (rūḥāniyya)" was created to form their counterpart. In later Tayyibī Ismā'īlism, the third to ninth Intellects of the spiritual world are called the seven Cherubim. Ismā'īlī doctrine, however, also recognises angels of a more conventional character. They are described as being all of a single substance, with only their names varying in accordance with their functions. Some inhabit the spiritual world, others the heavenly spheres, and still others the physical world in order to preserve all its regions. They are seen only by prophets and those who rise spiritually to become like prophets.

(W. Madelung)

1. In the Kur'ān and in Sunnī Islam.

In addition to references given in the article, see W. Eickmann, *Angelologie und Daemonologie des Korans*, New York and Leipzig 1908

- T. P. Hughes, A dictionary of Islam, 15-16
- D. B. Macdonald, The religious attitude and life in Islam, Chicago 1912, index
- J. Horovitz, Muhammeds Himmelfahrt, in Isl., ix (1919), 159-83

idem, Tewish proper names in the Koran, in HUCA, ii (1925), 144-227

idem, Koranische Untersuchungen, Berlin 1926

L. Jung, Fallen angels in Jewish, Christian and Mohammadan literature, in JQR, N.S., xv (1924-5), 267-502, vii (1925-6), 287-336

P. A. Eichler, Die Dschinn, Teufel und Engel im Koran, Leipzig 1928

ERE, art. Charms and amulets (Muhammadan) (Carra de Vaux), Demons and spirits (Muslim) (M. Gaudefroy-Demombynes)

S. Zwemer, The worship of Adam by angels, in MW, xxvii (1937), 115-27

R. Guenon, Notes sur l'angelologie de l'alphabet arabe, in Etudes traditionnelles, xliii (1938), 324-7

J. Macdonald, The creation of man and angels in the eschatological literature, in Isl. Studies, iii (1964), 285-308

W. M. Watt, Bell's Introduction to the Qur'an, Edinburgh 1970, indices at 216, 242

L. Gardet, Les anges en Islam, in Studia missionalia, xxi (1972), 207-27

F. Jaadane, La place des anges dans la theologie cosmique musulmane, in SI, xli (1975), 23-61

A. T. Welch, Allah and other supernational beings: the emergence of the Qur'anic doctrine of Tawhīd, in Jual of the American Acad. of Religion, Thematic Issue, xlvii/4 (Dec. 1979), 739 ff., 749 ff.

Fazlur Rahman, *Major themes of the Qur'ān*, Minneapolis-Chicago 1980, index arts. djabrā'īl/djibrīl, hārūt wa-mārūt, isrāfīl, 'izrā'īl, mīkāl.

2. In Shī'ism.

The Imāmiyya: al-Madilisī, Biḥār al-anwār

for references to angels throughout this encyclopaedia, see 'Abbās al-Kummī, *Safīnat al-biḥār*, Nadjaf 1355, ii, 546-9

the main section on angels in the new edition of Biḥār al-anwār is in vol. lix, Tehran 1386, 144-326. M. J.

McDermott, The theology of al-Shaikh al-Mufid, Beirut 1978, index s.v. Angels. The Ismā'īliyya: 'Alī b.

Muḥammad [b.] al-Walīd, Tādj al-'aķā'id, ed. 'A. Tāmir, Beirut 1967, 45-6

- R. Strothmann, Gnosistexte der Ismailiten, Göttingen 1943, 29, 44, 46
- H. Corbin, Histoire de la philosophie islamique, i, Paris 1964, 118-36
- H. Halm, Kosmologie und Heilslehre der frühen Ismā'īlīya, Wiesbaden 1978, index s.v. Karūbīya
- S. M. Stern, Studies in early Ismā'īlism, Jerusalem 1983, 20-9.