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- Forecaster says: "The probability of rain tomorrow is $p$ "
- Forecaster is calibrated if
- for every forecast $p$ : in the days when the forecast was $p$, the proportion of rainy days equals $\boldsymbol{p}$ (or: is close to $p$ in the long run)
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## CALIBRATION can be guaranteed (no matter what the weather will be)

- Foster and Vohra 1994 [publ 1998]
- Hart 1995: proof by Minimax Theorem
- Hart and Mas-Colell 1996 [publ 2000]: procedure by Blackwell's Approachability
- Foster 1999: simple procedure
- Foster and Hart 2016 [publ 2021]: simplest procedure, by "Forecast Hedging"
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## Calibration plot of ElectionBettingOdds.com (2016-2018)
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Proof.
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\mathbb{E}\left[(X-c)^{2}\right]=\operatorname{Var}(X)+(\bar{X}-c)^{2}
$$

where $c$ is a constant and $X$ is a random variable with $\overline{\boldsymbol{X}}=\mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{X}]$
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| time | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\ldots$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| rain | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |
| F1 | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ |  |
| F2 | $50 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $50 \%$ |  |

$\mathrm{F} 1: \mathcal{K}=0 \quad \mathcal{R}=0 \quad \mathcal{B}=0$
$\mathrm{F} 2: \quad \mathcal{K}=0 \quad \mathcal{R}=\frac{1}{4} \quad \mathcal{B}=\frac{1}{4}$

## "Experts"

## "Experts"

## Testing experts:

## "Experts"

## Testing experts: <br> Brier score

## "Experts"

## Testing experts: <br> Brier score <br> CALIBRATION score

## "Expertise"

## "Expertise"

- Recognize patterns and regularities in the data


## "Expertise"

- Recognize patterns and regularities in the data
- Sort the days into bins that consist of similar days


## "Expertise"

- Recognize patterns and regularities in the data
- Sort the days into bins that consist of similar days
- Make the binning as refined as possible
- Recognize patterns and regularities in the data
- Sort the days into bins that consist of similar days
- Make the binning as refined as possible


## $\Leftrightarrow \quad$ LOW REFINEMENT SCORE

## "Expertise" and Calibration

## "Expertise" and Calibration

- CALIBRATION $(\mathcal{K} \approx 0)$ can always be guaranteed in the long run


## "Expertise" and Calibration

- CALIBRATION $(\mathcal{K} \approx 0)$ can always be guaranteed in the long run
- But: calibration procedures ignore whatever "EXPERTISE" one has


## "Expertise" and Calibration

- CALIBRATION $(\mathcal{K} \approx 0)$ can always be guaranteed in the long run
- But: calibration procedures ignore whatever "EXPERTISE" one has


## Question:

Can one Gain calibration without LOSING "EXPERTISE"?

## "Expertise" and Calibration

- CALIBRATION $(\mathcal{K} \approx 0)$ can always be guaranteed in the long run
- But: calibration procedures ignore whatever "EXPERTISE" one has


## Question: <br> Can one gain calibration without LOSING "EXPERTISE"?

- Can one get $\mathcal{K}$ to 0 without increasing $\mathcal{R}$ ?


## "Expertise" and Calibration

- CALIBRATION $(\mathcal{K} \approx 0)$ can always be guaranteed in the long run
- But: calibration procedures ignore whatever "EXPERTISE" one has


## Question: <br> Can one gain calibration without LOSING "EXPERTISE"?

- Can one get $\mathcal{K}$ to 0 without increasing $\mathcal{R}$ ?
- Can one decrease $\mathcal{B}=\mathcal{R}+\mathcal{K}$ by $\mathcal{K}$ ?


## "Expertise" and Calibration

- Can one decrease $\mathcal{B}$ by $\mathcal{K}$ ?


## "Expertise" and Calibration

- Can one decrease $\mathcal{B}$ by $\mathcal{K}$ ?
- Yes: Replace each forecast $c$ with the corresponding bin average $\bar{a}(c)$


## "Expertise" and Calibration

- Can one decrease $\mathcal{B}$ by $\mathcal{K}$ ?
- Yes: Replace each forecast $c$ with the corresponding bin average $\bar{a}(c)$
$\Rightarrow \mathcal{K}^{\prime}=0$


## "Expertise" and Calibration

- Can one decrease $\mathcal{B}$ by $\mathcal{K}$ ?
- Yes: Replace each forecast $c$ with the corresponding bin average $\bar{a}(c)$
$\Rightarrow \mathcal{K}^{\prime}=0 \quad \mathcal{R}^{\prime}=\mathcal{R}$


## "Expertise" and Calibration

- Can one decrease $\mathcal{B}$ by $\mathcal{K}$ ?
- Yes: Replace each forecast $c$ with the corresponding bin average $\bar{a}(c)$
$\Rightarrow \mathcal{K}^{\prime}=0 \quad \mathcal{R}^{\prime}=\mathcal{R} \quad \mathcal{B}^{\prime}=\mathcal{B}-\mathcal{K}$


## "Expertise" and Calibration

- Can one decrease $\mathcal{B}$ by $\mathcal{K}$ ?
- Yes: Replace each forecast $c$ with the corresponding bin average $\bar{a}(c)$
$\Rightarrow \mathcal{K}^{\prime}=0 \quad \mathcal{R}^{\prime}=\mathcal{R} \quad \mathcal{B}^{\prime}=\mathcal{B}-\mathcal{K}$
- IN RETROSPECT / OFFLINE (when the $\bar{a}(c)$ are known)


## "Expertise" and Calibration

- Can one decrease $\mathcal{B}$ by $\mathcal{K}$ ?
- Yes: Replace each forecast $c$ with the corresponding bin average $\bar{a}(c)$
$\Rightarrow \mathcal{K}^{\prime}=0 \quad \mathcal{R}^{\prime}=\mathcal{R} \quad \mathcal{B}^{\prime}=\mathcal{B}-\mathcal{K}$
- IN RETROSPECT / OFFLINE (when the $\bar{a}(c)$ are known)


## Question:

Can one do this online ?

3

- Consider a forecasting sequence $b_{t}$ (in a [finite] set $\boldsymbol{B}$ )
- Consider a forecasting sequence $b_{t}$ (in a [finite] set $\boldsymbol{B}$ )
- At each time $t$ generate a forecast $c_{t}$
- Consider a forecasting sequence $b_{t}$ (in a [finite] set $\boldsymbol{B}$ )
- At each time $t$ generate a forecast $c_{t}$
- ONLINE: use only $b_{t}$ and history
- Consider a forecasting sequence $b_{t}$ (in a [finite] set $\boldsymbol{B}$ )
- At each time $t$ generate a forecast $c_{t}$
- ONLINE: use only $b_{t}$ and history
- such that

$$
\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{c}} \leq \mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{b}}-\mathcal{K}^{\mathrm{b}}
$$

- Consider a forecasting sequence $b_{t}$ (in a [finite] set $\boldsymbol{B}$ )
- At each time $t$ generate a forecast $c_{t}$
- ONLINE: use only $b_{t}$ and history
- such that

$$
\mathcal{B}_{T}^{\mathrm{c}} \leq \mathcal{B}_{T}^{\mathrm{b}}-\mathcal{K}_{T}^{\mathrm{b}}+\mathrm{o}(1) \quad \text { as } T \rightarrow \infty
$$

for ALL sequences $a_{t}$ and $b_{t}$ (uniformly)

- Consider a forecasting sequence $b_{t}$ (in a [finite] set $\boldsymbol{B}$ )
- At each time $t$ generate a forecast $c_{t}$
- ONLINE: use only $b_{t}$ and history
- such that

$$
\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{c}} \leq \mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{b}}-\mathcal{K}^{\mathrm{b}}
$$

- Consider a forecasting sequence $b_{t}$ (in a [finite] set $\boldsymbol{B}$ )
- At each time $t$ generate a forecast $c_{t}$
- ONLINE: use only $b_{t}$ and history
- such that

$$
\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{c}} \leq \mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{b}}-\mathcal{K}^{\mathrm{b}}=\mathcal{R}^{\mathrm{b}}
$$

## "Calibeating"

- Consider a forecasting sequence $b_{t}$ (in a [finite] set $\boldsymbol{B}$ )
- At each time $t$ generate a forecast $c_{t}$
- ONLINE: use only $b_{t}$ and history
- such that

$$
\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{c}} \leq \mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{b}}-\mathcal{K}^{\mathrm{b}}=\mathcal{R}^{\mathrm{b}}
$$

$c$ "BEATS" $b$ by $b$ 's CALIBRATION SCOR

## "Calibeating"

- Consider a forecasting sequence $b_{t}$ (in a [finite] set $\boldsymbol{B}$ )
- At each time $t$ generate a forecast $c_{t}$
- ONLINE: use only $b_{t}$ and history
- such that

$$
\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{c}} \leq \mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{b}}-\mathcal{K}^{\mathrm{b}}=\mathcal{R}^{\mathrm{b}}
$$

$c$ "BEATS" $b$ by $b$ 's CALIBRATION SCORe

- GUARANTEED for ALL sequences of actions and forecasts

Example

## Example

| time | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\ldots$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| rain | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |
| $\boldsymbol{b}$ | $80 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $40 \%$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Example

| time | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\ldots$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| rain | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |
| $\boldsymbol{b}$ | $80 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $40 \%$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$b: \mathcal{K}^{b}=0.1 \quad \mathcal{R}^{b}=0 \quad \mathcal{B}^{b}=0.1$

## Example

| time | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\ldots$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| rain | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |
| $\boldsymbol{b}$ | $80 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $40 \%$ |  |
| $\boldsymbol{c}$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ |  |

$b: \mathcal{K}^{b}=0.1 \quad \mathcal{R}^{b}=0 \quad \mathcal{B}^{b}=0.1$

## Example

| time | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\ldots$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| rain | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |
| $\boldsymbol{b}$ | $80 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $40 \%$ |  |
| $\boldsymbol{c}$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ |  |

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
b: \mathcal{K}^{b}=0.1 & \mathcal{R}^{b}=0 & \mathcal{B}^{b}=0.1 \\
c: & \mathcal{K}^{c}=0 & \mathcal{R}^{\mathrm{c}}=0
\end{array} \quad \mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{c}}=0
$$

## Calibeating

| time | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\ldots$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| rain | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |
| $\boldsymbol{b}$ | $80 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $40 \%$ |  |
| $\boldsymbol{c}$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ |  |

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
b: \mathcal{K}^{b}=0.1 & \mathcal{R}^{b}=0 & \mathcal{B}^{b}=0.1 \\
c: \mathcal{K}^{c}=0 & \mathcal{R}^{\mathrm{c}}=0 & \mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{c}}=0
\end{array}
$$

c calibeats $b: \mathcal{B}^{c} \leq \mathcal{B}^{b}-\mathcal{K}^{b}$

## Calibeating

| time | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\ldots$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| rain | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |
| $\boldsymbol{b}$ | $80 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $40 \%$ |  |
| $\boldsymbol{c}$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ |  |

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
b: \mathcal{K}^{b}=0.1 & \mathcal{R}^{b}=0 & \mathcal{B}^{b}=0.1 \\
c: \mathcal{K}^{c}=0 & \mathcal{R}^{\mathrm{c}}=0 & \mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{c}}=0
\end{array}
$$

c calibeats $b: \mathcal{B}^{c} \leq \mathcal{B}^{b}-\mathcal{K}^{b}=\mathcal{R}^{b}$
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## Calibeating

(that was easy ...)
Can one CALIBEAT in general, non-stationary, situations?

- Weather is arbitrary and not stationary
- Forecasts of $b$ are arbitrary
- Binning of $b$ is not perfect $\left(\mathcal{R}^{b}>0\right)$
- Bin averages do not converge
- ONLINE
- GUARANTEED (even against adversary)
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## Theorem

The procedure

$$
c_{t}=\bar{a}_{t-1}^{\mathrm{b}}\left(b_{t}\right)
$$

GUARANTEES b-CALIBEATING

Forecast the average action of the current $b$-forecast

## Proof
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## Proof

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{V a r} & =\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T}\left\|x_{t}-\bar{x}_{T}\right\|^{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T}\left(1-\frac{1}{t}\right)\left\|x_{t}-\bar{x}_{t-1}\right\|^{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T}\left\|x_{t}-\bar{x}_{t-1}\right\|^{2}-\mathrm{o}(1)
\end{aligned}
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(*) $\mathrm{o}(1)=\mathrm{O}\left(\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \frac{1}{t}\right)=\mathrm{O}\left(\frac{\log T}{T}\right)$

## Proof

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{V a r} & =\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T}\left\|x_{t}-\bar{x}_{T}\right\|^{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T}\left(1-\frac{1}{t}\right)\left\|x_{t}-\bar{x}_{t-1}\right\|^{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T}\left\|x_{t}-\bar{x}_{t-1}\right\|^{2}-\mathrm{o}(1)
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## Proof: "Online Variance"

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Var} & =\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T}\left\|x_{t}-\bar{x}_{T}\right\|^{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T}\left(1-\frac{1}{t}\right)\left\|x_{t}-\bar{x}_{t-1}\right\|^{2} \\
& =\underbrace{\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T}\left\|x_{t}-\bar{x}_{t-1}\right\|^{2}}_{\widehat{\operatorname{Var}}}-\mathrm{o}(1) \\
& =\mathrm{o}(1)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Proof: "Online Variance"

$$
\mathbb{V a r}=\widehat{\mathbb{V a r}}-\mathrm{o}(1)
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## Proof: "Online Refinement"

$\operatorname{Var}=\widetilde{\operatorname{Var}}-\mathrm{o}(1)$
$\mathcal{R}^{\mathrm{b}}=\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}^{\mathrm{b}}-\mathrm{o}(1)$
$=\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T}\left\|a_{t}-\bar{a}_{t-1}\left(b_{t}\right)\right\|^{2}-\mathrm{o}(1)$

## Proof: "Online Refinement"

$$
\begin{aligned}
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Var}=\widetilde{\operatorname{Var}}-\mathrm{o}(1) \\
& \mathcal{R}^{\mathrm{b}}=\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}^{\mathrm{b}}-\mathrm{o}(1) \\
&=\underbrace{\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T}\left\|a_{t}-\bar{a}_{t-1}\left(b_{t}\right)\right\|^{2}}_{\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{c}}}-\mathrm{o}(1) \\
&=\mathrm{o}(1) \\
& c_{t}=\bar{a}_{t-1}\left(b_{t}\right)
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Theorem

$$
c_{t}=\bar{a}_{t-1}^{\mathrm{c}}\left(c_{t}\right)
$$

GUARANTEES c-CALIBEATING:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{c}} \leq \mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{c}}-\mathcal{K}^{\mathrm{c}} \\
\Leftrightarrow & \mathcal{K}^{\mathrm{c}}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

# Self-Calibeating $=$ Calibrating 

## Theorem

$$
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## Theorem
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\begin{aligned}
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## Stochastic "Fixed Point" (FH)

Theorem There exists a probability distribution on (a $\delta$-grid $D$ of) $C$ such that for every $x \in C$

$$
\mathbb{E}_{c}\left[\|x-c\|^{2}-\|x-g(c)\|^{2}\right] \leq \delta^{2}
$$

- Obtained by solving a Minimax problem (LP)
- Moreover, solving a Fixed Point problem yields a probability distribution that is ALMOST DETERMINISTIC: its support is included in a ball of size $\boldsymbol{\delta}$
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## Calibrating

## Theorem

There is a stochastic procedure that GUARANTEES CALIBRATION

Proof. Self-calibeating + Outgoing Minimax
Note. $\delta$-CALIBRATION
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## Theorem
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## Calibrated Calibeating

## Theorem

There is a stochastic procedure that GUARANTEES CALIBEATING and CALIBRATION

## Calibrated Calibeating

## Theorem

There is a stochastic procedure that GUARANTEES CALIBEATING and CALIBRATION

Proof. Calibeat the joint binning of $b$ and $c$, by the Outgoing Minimax theorem

Multi-Calibeating

## Theorem

There is a deterministic procedure that Guarantees

## simultaneous CALIBEATING

 of several forecasters
## Theorem

There is a stochastic procedure that GuARANTEES
simultaneous CALIBEATING of several forecasters
and CALIBRATION

## Multi-Calibeating

## Theorem

There is a stochastic procedure that GUARANTEES

## simultaneous CALIBEATING of several forecasters

and CALIBRATION

Proof. Calibeat the joint binning

## In all the results above:

## In all the results above:



## ... and Continuous Calibration

In all the results above:

|  | CALIBRATION | CONTINUOUS <br> CALIBRATION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Obtained by | Minimax | Fixed Point |
| Procedure | stochastic | deterministic |
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## Successful Economic Forecasting

## TAKING PRIDE IN OUR RECORD

"We have correctly forecasted 8 of the last 5 recessions"

