

Unique continuation principle for spectral projections of  
Schrödinger operators and optimal Wegner estimates for  
non-ergodic random Schrödinger operators

Abel Klein

University of California, Irvine

Quantum Spectra and Transport (Avronfest)

Hebrew University

July 3, 2013

# Schrödinger operators

We consider a Schrödinger operator

$$H = -\Delta + V \quad \text{on} \quad L^2(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

where  $\Delta$  is the Laplacian operator and  $V$  is a bounded potential.

- We define balls and boxes:

$$B(x, \delta) := \left\{ y \in \mathbb{R}^d; |y - x| < \delta \right\}, \quad \text{with} \quad |x| := |x|_2 = \left( \sum_{j=1}^d |x_j|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}};$$

$$\Lambda_L(x) := \left\{ y \in \mathbb{R}^d; |y - x|_\infty < \frac{L}{2} \right\}, \quad \text{with} \quad |x|_\infty := \max_{j=1,2,\dots,d} |x_j|.$$

- $H_\Lambda$  denotes the restriction of  $H$  to the the box  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ :

$$H_\Lambda = -\Delta_\Lambda + V_\Lambda \quad \text{on} \quad L^2(\Lambda).$$

- $\Delta_\Lambda$  is the Laplacian on  $\Lambda$  with either Dirichlet or periodic boundary condition.
- $V_\Lambda$  is the restriction of  $V$  to  $\Lambda$ .

# Unique continuation principle for spectral projections

A UCPSP on a box  $\Lambda$  is an estimate of the form

$$\chi_I(H_\Lambda)W_\Lambda\chi_I(H_\Lambda) \geq \kappa\chi_I(H_\Lambda) \quad \text{on } L^2(\Lambda),$$

where  $\chi_I$  is the characteristic function of the interval  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ ,  $W \geq 0$  is a potential, and  $\kappa > 0$  is a constant.

- If  $W \geq \kappa > 0$  (covering condition) the UCPSP is trivial.
- If  $V$  and  $W$  are bounded  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -periodic potentials,  $W \geq 0$  with  $W > 0$  on an open set, Combes, Hislop and Klopp (2003) proved the UCPSP for  $H_\Lambda$  with periodic boundary condition, for boxes  $\Lambda = \Lambda_L(x_0) \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  with  $L \in \mathbb{N}$  and arbitrary bounded intervals  $I$ , with a constant  $\kappa > 0$  depending on  $\sup I$  (and  $d, V, W$ ), but not on the box  $\Lambda$ . Their proof uses the unique continuation principle and Floquet theory.
- Germinet and Klein (2013) proved a modified version of the CHK UCPSP, using Bourgain and Kenig's quantitative unique continuation principle and (some) Floquet theory, obtaining control of the constant  $\kappa$  in terms of the relevant parameters.

## Theorem (UCPSP)

There exists a constant  $M_d > 0$ , depending only on  $d$ , such that:

- Let  $H = -\Delta + V$  be a Schrödinger operator on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .
- Given an energy  $E_0 > 0$  and  $\delta \in ]0, \frac{1}{2}]$ , define  $\gamma = \gamma(d, K, \delta) > 0$  by

$$\gamma^2 = \frac{1}{2} \delta^{M_d(1+K^{\frac{2}{3}})}, \quad \text{where } K = K(V, E_0) = 2 \|V\|_\infty + E_0.$$

Then, given

- $\{y_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  with  $B(y_k, \delta) \subset \Lambda_1(k)$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ ,
- a closed interval  $I \subset ]-\infty, E_0]$  with  $|I| \leq \frac{2}{5} \gamma$ ,
- a box  $\Lambda = \Lambda_L(x_0)$  with  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $L \geq 150\sqrt{d}$ ,

and setting

$$W^{(\Lambda)} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d, \Lambda_1(k) \subset \Lambda} \chi_{B(y_k, \delta)},$$

we have

$$\chi_I(H_\Lambda) W^{(\Lambda)} \chi_I(H_\Lambda) \geq \gamma^2 \chi_I(H_\Lambda) \quad \text{on } L^2(\Lambda).$$

## Comments on the UCPSP

- Rojas-Molina and Veselić (2013) proved, under the hypotheses of the Theorem, that for boxes  $\Lambda = \Lambda_L(x_0)$  with  $x_0 \in \mathbb{Z}^d$  and  $L \in \mathbb{N}_{\text{odd}}$ , if  $\psi$  is an eigenfunction of  $H_\Lambda$  with eigenvalue  $E \in ]-\infty, E_0]$ , then

$$\left\| W^{(\Lambda)} \psi \right\|_2^2 \geq \kappa_{E_0} \|\psi\|_2^2 \quad \text{with} \quad \kappa_{E_0} > 0.$$

This is just the UCPSP when  $I = \{E\}$ . Their proof uses the quantitative unique continuation principle (Bourgain and Kenig).

- Our Theorem is derived from the quantitative unique continuation principle as in Bourgain and Klein using the “dominant boxes” introduced by Rojas-Molina and Veselić.
- The UCPSP is a crucial ingredient for proving Wegner estimates for Anderson Hamiltonians, random Schrödinger operators on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  with  $q\mathbb{Z}^d$ -periodic background potential ( $q \in \mathbb{N}$ ) and alloy-type random potentials located in the lattice  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ . The UCPSP replaces the covering condition.

## Quantitative unique continuation principle (Bourgain-Klein)

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  open. Let  $\psi \in H^2(\Omega)$  and let  $\zeta \in L^2(\Omega)$  be defined by

$$-\Delta \psi + V\psi = \zeta \quad \text{a.e. on } \Omega,$$

where  $V$  is a bounded real measurable function on  $\Omega$ ,  $\|V\|_\infty \leq K < \infty$ .  
Let  $\Theta \subset \Omega$  be a bounded measurable set where  $\|\psi \chi_\Theta\|_2 > 0$ .

$$\text{Set } Q(x, \Theta) := \sup_{y \in \Theta} |y - x| \quad \text{for } x \in \Omega.$$

Let  $x_0 \in \Omega \setminus \bar{\Theta}$  satisfy  $Q = Q(x_0, \Theta) \geq 1$  and  $B(x_0, 6Q + 2) \subset \Omega$ .

Then, given

$$0 < \delta \leq \min \left\{ 2 \operatorname{dist}(x_0, \Theta), \frac{1}{300} \right\},$$

we have

$$\left( \frac{\delta}{Q} \right)^{m_d (1 + K^{\frac{2}{3}})} \left( Q^{\frac{4}{3} + \log \frac{\|\psi \chi_\Omega\|_2}{\|\psi \chi_\Theta\|_2}} \right) \|\psi \chi_\Theta\|_2^2 \leq \|\psi \chi_{B(x_0, \delta)}\|_2^2 + \|\zeta \chi_\Omega\|_2^2,$$

where  $m_d > 0$  is a constant depending only on  $d$ .

## A corollary to the quantitative unique continuation principle

## Corollary

There exists a constant  $M_d > 0$ , depending only on  $d$ , such that:

- Let  $H = -\Delta + V$  be a Schrödinger operator on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , where  $V$  is a bounded potential with  $\|V\|_\infty \leq K$ .
- Fix  $\delta \in ]0, \frac{1}{2}]$  and sites  $\{y_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  with  $B(y_k, \delta) \subset \Lambda_1(k)$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ .
- Consider a box  $\Lambda = \Lambda_L(x_0)$ , where  $x_0 \in \mathbb{Z}^d$  and  $L \in \mathbb{N}_{\text{odd}}$ ,  $L \geq 72\sqrt{d}$ .

Then for all real-valued  $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(\Delta_\Lambda) = \mathcal{D}(H_\Lambda)$  we have (on  $L^2(\Lambda)$ )

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^{M_d(1+K^{\frac{2}{3}})} \|\psi\|_2^2 &\leq \sum_{k \in \Lambda \cap \mathbb{Z}^d} \|\psi \chi_{B(y_k, \delta)}\|_2^2 + \delta^2 \|H_\Lambda \psi\|_2^2 \\ &= \left\| W^{(\Lambda)} \psi \right\|_2^2 + \delta^2 \|H_\Lambda \psi\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

## Proof of the Corollary

Take  $\Lambda = \Lambda_L(0)$  with  $L \in \mathbb{N}_{\text{odd}}$ . We extend functions  $\varphi$  on  $\Lambda$  to functions  $\widehat{V}$  and  $\widetilde{\varphi}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and  $V$  to a potential  $\widehat{V}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  so

$$(-\Delta + \widetilde{V})\psi = (-\Delta + \widehat{V})\widetilde{\psi}.$$

Take  $Y \in \mathbb{N}_{\text{odd}}$ ,  $9 \leq Y < \frac{L}{2}$ . Since  $L$  is odd, we have  $\overline{\Lambda} = \bigcup_{k \in \Lambda \cap \mathbb{Z}^d} \overline{\Lambda_1(k)}$ . It follows that for all  $\varphi \in \mathbb{L}^2(\Lambda)$  we have

$$\sum_{k \in \Lambda \cap \mathbb{Z}^d} \|\widetilde{\varphi}_{\Lambda_Y(k)}\|_2^2 \leq (2Y)^d \|\varphi_{\Lambda}\|_2^2.$$

We now fix  $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(\Delta_{\Lambda})$ . Following Rojas-Molina and Veselić, we call a site  $k \in \widehat{\Lambda}$  *dominating* (for  $\psi$ ) if

$$\|\psi_{\Lambda_1(k)}\|_2^2 \geq \frac{1}{2(2Y)^d} \|\widetilde{\psi}_{\Lambda_Y(k)}\|_2^2,$$

and note that, letting  $\widehat{D} \subset \Lambda \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$  denote the collection of dominating sites,

$$\sum_{k \in \widehat{D}} \|\psi_{\Lambda_1(k)}\|_2^2 \geq \frac{1}{2} \|\psi_{\Lambda}\|_2^2.$$



## Proof of the Corollary-continued

If  $k \in \widehat{D}$  we apply the QUCP with  $\Omega = \Lambda_Y(k)$  and  $\Theta = \Lambda_1(k)$ , obtaining

$$\delta^{m'_d(1+K^{\frac{2}{3}})} \|\psi_{\Lambda_1(k)}\|_2^2 \leq \|\psi_{B(y_{J(k)}, \delta)}\|_2^2 + \delta^2 \|\tilde{\zeta}_{\Lambda_Y(k)}\|_2^2,$$

where  $\zeta = (-\Delta + V)\psi$ ,  $Y$  is appropriately chosen,  $Y \leq 40\sqrt{d} < \frac{1}{2}$ , and the map  $J: \widehat{D} \rightarrow \Lambda \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$  is defined appropriately so

$$J(k) \in \Lambda_Y(k) \text{ and } \#J^{-1}(\{j\}) \leq 2 \text{ for all } j.$$

Summing over  $k \in \widehat{D}$  and using  $\sum_{k \in \widehat{D}} \|\psi_{\Lambda_1(k)}\|_2^2 \geq \frac{1}{2} \|\psi_\Lambda\|_2^2$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \delta^{m'_d(1+K^{\frac{2}{3}})} \|\psi_\Lambda\|_2^2 &\leq 2 \sum_{k \in \Lambda \cap \mathbb{Z}^d} \|\psi_{B(y_k, \delta)}\|_2^2 + (2Y)^d \delta^2 \|\zeta_\Lambda\|_2^2 \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{k \in \Lambda \cap \mathbb{Z}^d} \|\psi_{B(y_k, \delta)}\|_2^2 + (80\sqrt{d})^d \delta^2 \|\zeta_\Lambda\|_2^2, \end{aligned}$$

which implies (with a different constant  $M_d > 0$ )

$$\delta^{M_d(1+K^{\frac{2}{3}})} \|\psi_\Lambda\|_2^2 \leq \sum_{k \in \Lambda \cap \mathbb{Z}^d} \|\psi \chi_{B(y_k, \delta)}\|_2^2 + \delta^2 \|\zeta_\Lambda\|_2^2.$$

## Proof of the UCSP Theorem

Let  $E_0 > 0$  and  $I \subset ]-\infty, E_0]$  a closed interval; set  $\beta = \frac{1}{2}|I|$ . Since  $H_\Lambda \geq -\|V\|_\infty$  for any box  $\Lambda$ , without loss of generality we assume  $I = [E - \beta, E + \beta]$  with  $E \in [-\|V\|_\infty, E_0]$ , so

$$\|V - E\|_\infty \leq \|V\|_\infty + \max\{E_0, \|V\|_\infty\} \leq K = 2\|V\|_\infty + E_0.$$

Moreover, for any box  $\Lambda$  we have

$$\|(H_\Lambda - E)\psi\|_2 \leq \beta \|\psi\|_2 \quad \text{for } \psi = \chi_I(H_\Lambda)\psi.$$

Let  $\Lambda$  be a box as in the Corollary and  $\psi = \chi_I(H_\Lambda)\psi$  real-valued. It follows from the Corollary applied to  $H - E$  that

$$\delta^{M_d(1+K^{\frac{2}{3}})} \|\psi\|_2^2 \leq \|W^{(\Lambda)}\psi\|_2^2 + \delta^2 \|(H_\Lambda - E)\psi\|_2^2 \leq \|W^{(\Lambda)}\psi\|_2^2 + \beta^2 \|\psi\|_2^2.$$

If  $\beta^2 \leq \gamma^2 := \frac{1}{2}\delta^{M_d(1+K^{\frac{2}{3}})}$ , i.e.,  $|I| \leq 2\gamma$ , we get

$$\gamma^2 \|\psi\|_2^2 \leq \|W^{(\Lambda)}\psi\|_2^2, \quad \text{i.e., } \gamma^2 \chi_I(H_\Lambda) \leq \chi_I(H_\Lambda) W^{(\Lambda)} \chi_I(H_\Lambda).$$

## Crooked Anderson Hamiltonians

A crooked Anderson Hamiltonian is the random Schrödinger operator

$$H_\omega := H_0 + V_\omega \quad \text{on} \quad L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

- ①  $H_0 = -\Delta + V^{(0)}$ , with  $V^{(0)}$  a bounded potential and  $\inf \sigma(H_0) = 0$ .
- ②  $V_\omega$  is a crooked alloy-type random potential:

$$V_\omega(x) := \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \omega_j u_j(x), \quad \text{with} \quad u_j(x) = v_j(x - y_j),$$

where, for some  $\delta_- \in ]0, \frac{1}{2}]$  and  $u_-, \delta_+, M \in ]0, \infty[$ :

- ①  $\{y_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$  are sites in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with  $B(y_j, \delta_-) \subset \Lambda_1(j)$  for all  $j \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ ;
- ② the single site potentials  $\{v_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$  are measurable functions on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with
 
$$u_- \chi_{B(0, \delta_-)} \leq v_j \leq \chi_{\Lambda_{\delta_+}(0)} \quad \text{for all} \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}^d;$$
- ③  $\omega = \{\omega_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$  is a family of independent random variables whose probability distributions  $\{\mu_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$  are non-degenerate with
 
$$\text{supp } \mu_j \subset [0, M] \quad \text{for all} \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}^d.$$

**Remark:** If  $V^{(0)}$  is  $q\mathbb{Z}^d$ -periodic with  $q \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $y_j = j$ ,  $v_j = v_0$ ,  $\mu_j = \mu_0$  for all  $j \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ , then  $H_\omega$  is the ergodic (usual) Anderson Hamiltonian.

## Finite volume crooked Anderson Hamiltonians

We define finite volume crooked Anderson Hamiltonians on a box  $\Lambda = \Lambda_L(x_0)$ ,  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $L > 0$ , with either Dirichlet or periodic boundary condition, by

$$H_{\omega, \Lambda} = H_{0, \Lambda} + V_{\omega}^{(\Lambda)} \quad \text{on } L^2(\Lambda),$$

where

- $H_{0, \Lambda} = (H_0)_{\Lambda}$  is the restriction of  $H_0$  to  $\Lambda$  with the specified boundary condition,

- 

$$V_{\omega}^{(\Lambda)}(x) := \sum_{j \in \Lambda \cap \mathbb{Z}^d} \omega_j u_j(x) \quad \text{for } x \in \Lambda.$$

We also set

$$U(x) := \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d} u_j(x) \quad \text{and} \quad U^{(\Lambda)}(x) := \sum_{j \in \Lambda \cap \mathbb{Z}^d} u_j(x),$$

$$W(x) := \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \chi_{B(y_j, \delta_-)}(x) \quad \text{and} \quad W^{(\Lambda)}(x) := \sum_{j \in \Lambda \cap \mathbb{Z}^d} \chi_{B(y_j, \delta_-)}(x).$$

## Remark and notation

Note that

$$0 \leq W_\Lambda \leq \frac{1}{u_-} U_\Lambda.$$

We will use the following notation:

- $P_{\omega, \Lambda}(B) := \chi_B(H_{\omega, \Lambda})$  for a Borel set  $B \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ .
- The concentration function of the probability measure  $\mu$  is defined by

$$S_\mu(t) := \sup_{a \in \mathbb{R}} \mu([a, a+t]) \quad \text{for } t \geq 0.$$

- 

$$S_\Lambda(t) := \max_{j \in \Lambda \cap \mathbb{Z}^d} S_{\mu_j}(t).$$

# Optimal Wegner estimates

An optimal Wegner estimate for Anderson Hamiltonians is an estimate of the form

$$\mathbb{E} \{ \text{tr} P_{\omega, \Lambda}(I) \} \leq C S_{\Lambda}(|I|) |\Lambda|.$$

- Combes, Hislop (1994) proved optimal Wegner estimates for ergodic Anderson Hamiltonians with a covering condition.
- Combes, Hislop, Klopp (2007) proved optimal Wegner estimates for ergodic Anderson Hamiltonians with periodic boundary condition and boxes  $\Lambda = \Lambda_L(x_0)$  with  $L$  a multiple of the period. Their proof uses the UCSP for the (nonrandom) periodic operator  $H_0$ .
- Rojas-Molina and Veselić (2013) proved Wegner estimates for Delone-Anderson models, optimal up to an additional factor:
 
$$\mathbb{E} \{ \text{tr} P_{\omega, \Lambda}(I) \} \leq C |\log |I||^d S_{\Lambda}(|I|) |\Lambda|.$$
 They used their single energy UCSP for the (nonrandom) operator  $H_0$ .
- Wegner estimates for crooked Anderson Hamiltonians imply corresponding Wegner estimates for Delone-Anderson models.

## Optimal Wegner estimate for crooked Anderson Hamilt.

Using the UCPSP for the full random operator  $H_\omega$ , we prove

## Theorem

Let  $H_\omega$  be a crooked Anderson Hamiltonian. Given  $E_0 > 0$ , define  $\gamma > 0$  by

$$\gamma^2 = \frac{1}{2} \delta_-^{M_d(1+K^{\frac{2}{3}})}, \quad \text{where } K = E_0 + 2 \left( \|V^{(0)}\|_\infty + M \|U\|_\infty \right).$$

and  $M_d > 0$  is the constant in the UCPSP Theorem.

Then for any closed interval  $I \subset ]-\infty, E_0]$  with  $|I| \leq \frac{2}{5}\gamma$  and any box  $\Lambda = \Lambda_L(x_0)$  with  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $L \geq 150\sqrt{d} + \delta_+$ , we have

$$\mathbb{E} \{ \text{tr } P_{\omega, \Lambda}(I) \} \leq C_{d, \delta_+, \|V^{(0)}\|_\infty} \left( u_-^{-2} \gamma^{-4} (1 + E_0) \right)^{2^{1 + \frac{\log d}{\log 2}}} S_\Lambda(|I|) |\Lambda|.$$

UCPSP  $\implies$  Optimal Wegner estimate

The theorem (optimal Wegner estimates) follows from the UCPSP theorem and the following lemma.

## Lemma

Let  $H_\omega$  be a crooked Anderson Hamiltonian.

Let  $I \subset ]-\infty, E_0]$  be a closed interval and  $\Lambda = \Lambda_L(x_0)$  a box centered at  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$  with  $L \geq 2 + \delta_+$ .

Suppose there exists a constant  $\kappa > 0$  such that

$$P_{\omega, \Lambda}(I) U^{(\Lambda)} P_{\omega, \Lambda}(I) \geq \kappa P_{\omega, \Lambda}(I) \quad \text{with probability one.}$$

Then

$$\mathbb{E} \{ \text{tr} P_{\omega, \Lambda}(I) \} \leq C_{d, \delta_+, \|V^{(0)}\|_\infty} (\kappa^{-2}(1 + E_0))^{2^{1 + \frac{\log d}{\log 2}}} S_\Lambda(|I|) |\Lambda|.$$



## Proof of Lemma

We fix  $\Lambda$  and  $I \subset ]-\infty, E_0]$ , let  $P = P_{\omega, \Lambda}(I)$   $U = U^{(\Lambda)}$ . Then (Dirichlet bc)

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{tr} P &\leq \kappa^{-1} \operatorname{tr} PUP = \kappa^{-1} \operatorname{tr} \sqrt{U}P\sqrt{U} \leq \kappa^{-2} \operatorname{tr} \sqrt{U}PUP\sqrt{U} = \kappa^{-2} \operatorname{tr} PUPU \\ &= \kappa^{-2} \operatorname{tr} PUPUP \leq \kappa^{-2}(1 + E_0) \operatorname{tr} PU(H_{\omega, \Lambda} + 1)^{-1}UP \\ &\leq \kappa^{-2}(1 + E_0) \operatorname{tr} PU(H_{0, \Lambda} + 1)^{-1}UP \\ &= \kappa^{-2}(1 + E_0) \operatorname{tr} UPU(H_{0, \Lambda} + 1)^{-1} \\ &= \kappa^{-2}(1 + E_0) \sum_{i, j \in \Lambda \cap \mathbb{Z}^d} \operatorname{tr} \sqrt{u_j}P\sqrt{u_i}T_{ij}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $T_{ij} = \sqrt{u_i}(H_{0, \Lambda} + 1)^{-1}\sqrt{u_j}$  for  $i, j \in \Lambda \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$ .

We may now adapt an argument in in Combes, Hislop, Klopp obtaining

$$\mathbb{E} \operatorname{tr} P \leq C_{d, \delta_+, V_\infty^{(0)}} \left( \kappa^{-2}(1 + E_0) \right)^{2^{1 + \frac{\log d}{\log 2}}} S_\Lambda(|I|) |\Lambda|.$$

## Wegner estimates at high disorder

Let  $H_{\omega,\lambda} = H_0 + \lambda V_{\omega}$  be a crooked Anderson Hamiltonian, where  $\lambda > 0$  is the disorder parameter. We want to make explicit the dependence on  $\lambda$  in the Wegner estimate.

If we have the covering condition  $U^{(\Lambda)} \geq \alpha \chi_{\Lambda}$  with  $\alpha > 0$ , we get, following Combes-Hislop or the Lemma,

$$\mathbb{E} \left\{ \text{tr} P_{\omega,\lambda,\Lambda}(I) \right\} \leq C_{d,\delta_+,\alpha,\|V^{(0)}\|_{\infty},E_0} S_{\Lambda}(\lambda^{-1}|I|) |\Lambda|,$$

a Wegner estimate that gets better as the disorder increases.

Without the covering condition, we get, using the UCPSP,

$$\mathbb{E} \left\{ \text{tr} P_{\omega,\lambda,\Lambda}(I) \right\} \leq C_{E_0} e^{c_{E_0} \left(1 + \lambda^{\frac{2}{3}}\right)} S_{\Lambda}(\lambda^{-1}|I|) |\Lambda|.$$

If we use the UCPSP for  $H_0$ , as in Combes, Hislop and Klopp, we get

$$\mathbb{E} \left\{ \text{tr} P_{\omega,\lambda,\Lambda}(I) \right\} \leq C_{E_0} \left( 1 + \lambda^{2 + \frac{\log d}{\log 2}} \right) S_{\Lambda}(\lambda^{-1}|I|) |\Lambda|.$$

These Wegner estimates get worse as the disorder increases.

# Optimal Wegner estimate at the bottom of the spectrum at high disorder

## Theorem

Let  $H_{\omega, \lambda}$  be a crooked Anderson Hamiltonian with disorder  $\lambda > 0$ . Then

$$E(\infty) := \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} E(t) = \sup_{t \geq 0} E(t) > 0, \quad \text{where} \quad E(t) := \inf \sigma(H_0 + tu - W).$$

Moreover, for each  $E_1 \in ]0, E(\infty)[$  there exists  $\kappa = \kappa(E_1) > 0$ , independent of  $\lambda$ , such that the following holds for all  $\lambda > 0$ :

Given a box  $\Lambda = \Lambda_L(x_0)$  with  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $L \geq 2 + \delta_+$ , we have

$$P_{\omega, \lambda, \Lambda}^{(D)}(]-\infty, E_1]) U^{(\Lambda)} P_{\omega, \lambda, \Lambda}^{(D)}(]-\infty, E_1]) \geq \kappa P_{\omega, \lambda, \Lambda}^{(D)}(]-\infty, E_1]),$$

and, for any interval  $I \subset ]-\infty, E_1]$ ,

$$\mathbb{E} \left\{ \text{tr} P_{\omega, \lambda, \Lambda}^{(D)}(I) \right\} \leq C_{d, \delta_+, V_\infty^{(0)}} \left( \kappa^{-2} (1 + E_1) \right)^{2^{1 + \frac{\log d}{\log 2}}} S_\Lambda(\lambda^{-1} |I|) |\Lambda|.$$

A lower bound on  $E(\infty)$ 

## Lemma

Let  $H_0$ ,  $u_-$ ,  $W$  be as in a crooked Anderson Hamiltonian, set  $H(t) = H_0 + tu_-W$  for  $t \geq 0$ , and let  $E(t) = \inf \sigma(H(t))$ ,  $E(\infty) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} E(t) = \sup_{t \geq 0} E(t)$ . Then

$$E(t) \geq tu_- \delta_- \left( 1 + (2V_\infty^{(0)} + 2tu_-)^{\frac{2}{3}} \right) \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0,$$

so we conclude that

$$E(\infty) \geq \sup_{t \in [0, \infty[} t \delta_- \left( 1 + (2V_\infty^{(0)} + 2t)^{\frac{2}{3}} \right) > 0.$$

This lemma is proven from the Corollary to the QUCP.

# An abstract UCSP

The Theorem now follows using an extension of an abstract UCPSP due to Boutet de Monvel, Lenz, and Stollmann (2011).

## Lemma

Let  $H_0$  be a self-adjoint operator on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ , bounded from below, and let  $Y \geq 0$  be a bounded operator on  $\mathcal{H}$ .

Let  $H(t) = H_0 + tY$  for  $t \geq 0$ , and set  $E(t) = \inf \sigma(H(t))$ .

Let  $E(\infty) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} E(t) = \sup_{t \geq 0} E(t)$ .

Suppose  $E(\infty) > E(0)$ . Given  $E_1 \in ]E(0), E(\infty)[$ , let

$$\kappa = \kappa(H_0, Y, E_1) = \sup_{s > 0; E(s) > E_1} \frac{E(s) - E_1}{s} > 0.$$

Then for all bounded operators  $V \geq 0$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  and Borel sets  $B \subset ]-\infty, E_1]$  we have

$$\chi_B(H_0 + V) Y \chi_B(H_0 + V) \geq \kappa \chi_B(H_0 + V).$$

## Proof of the abstract UCPSP

Fix  $E_1 \in ]E(0), E(\infty)[$ . For all Borel sets  $B \subset ]-\infty, E_1]$  we have, writing  $P_V(B) = \chi_B(H_0 + V)$ ,

$$P_V(B)(H_0 + V)P_V(B) \leq E_1 P_V(B).$$

Since  $E_1 \in ]E(0), E(\infty)[$ , there is  $s > 0$  such that  $E(s) > E_1$ . Then,

$$P_V(B)(H(s) + V - sY - E_1)P_V(B) = P_V(B)(H_0 + V - E_1)P_V(B) \leq 0,$$

and hence, using  $V \geq 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} sP_V(B)YP_V(B) &\geq P_V(B)(H(s) + V - E_1)P_V(B) \\ &\geq P_V(B)(H(s) - E_1)P_V(B) \geq (E(s) - E_1)P_V(B). \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that

$$\chi_B(H_0 + V)Y\chi_B(H_0 + V) \geq \kappa\chi_B(H_0 + V).$$

# Localization in a fixed interval at high disorder

## Theorem

Let  $H_{\omega,\lambda}$  be an ergodic Anderson Hamiltonian with disorder  $\lambda > 0$ , and suppose the single-site probability distribution  $\mu$  has a bounded density (or is uniformly Hölder continuous).

Then, given  $E_1 \in ]0, E(\infty)[$ , there exists  $\lambda(E_1) < \infty$ , such that  $H_{\omega,\lambda}$  exhibits complete localization on the interval  $[0, E_1[$  for all  $\lambda \geq \lambda(E_1)$ .

By complete localization on an interval  $I$  we mean that for all  $E \in I$  there exists  $\delta(E) > 0$  such that we can perform the bootstrap multiscale analysis on the interval  $(E - \delta(E), E + \delta(E))$ , obtaining Anderson and dynamical localization.

This theorem was previously known only with a covering condition  $U^{(\Lambda)} \geq \alpha \chi_\Lambda$ ,  $\alpha > 0$ , in which case  $E(\infty) = \infty$ .

This theorem holds for crooked Anderson Hamiltonians with appropriate hypotheses on the single site probability distributions  $\mu_j$ .